

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,825	06/26/2003	Raymond Neff	12148	5450
28484	7590 07/13/2005	·	EXAM	INER
BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT			COONEY, JOHN M	
CARL-BOSCH STRASSE 38, 67056 LUDWIGSHAFEN LUDWIGSHAFEN, 69056 GERMANY		LUDWIGSHAFEN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ν,	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/606,825	NEFF ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		John m. Cooney	1711
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-26,28-49 and 51-61 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-26,28-49 and 51-61 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	vn from consideration.	
Application	on Papers		
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) M Intentions Summer	(PTO 442)
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>0405</u> .	4) M Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments filed 4-14-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejections under 35 USC 102 are withdrawn in light of applicants' remarks and amendments.

The Double Patenting rejections are withdrawn in light of applicants' submitted terminal disclaimers.

The following objection and rejections are set forth as new:

Specification

The amendment filed 4-14-05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The amendment to paragraph [0030] inserting KOH values of greater than 450 KOH/g lacks support in the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/606,825

Art Unit: 1711

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

Claims1-2, 4-26, 28-49, and 51-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for chain extenders having two isocyanate reactive groups, does not reasonably provide enablement for chain extenders having other degrees of functionality. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants' supporting disclosure lacks enabling disclosure for employment of chain extenders beyond the difunctional chain extenders recited within the supporting disclosure. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims as defined without requiring undue experimentation. *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,825

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-2, 4-26, 28-49, and 51-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bleys(5,968,993).

Bleys discloses preparations of polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates, polyols, and chain extenders having densities as claimed (see example 3, as well as, the entire document). The recitation of viscoelastic in the preamble of applicants' claims does not serve to distinguish applicants' claims in a patentable sense. Though the glass transition temperature values and tan peak values of the claims are not particularly recited by Bleys, they are held to be inherent owing to the similarities in the materials employed in the products and processes claimed.

Claims 1-2, 4-26, 28-49, and 51-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hager et al.(6,391,935).

Hager et al. discloses preparations of polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates, polyols, and chain extenders having densities as claimed (see the entire document). Though the glass transition temperature values and tan peak values of the claims are not particularly recited by Hager et al., they are held to be inherent owing to the similarities in the materials employed in the products and processes claimed.

Claims 1-2, 4-26, 28-49, and 51-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Lutter et al. (5,420,170).

Lutter et al. discloses preparations of polyurethane foams prepared from

isocyanates, polyols, and chain extenders having densities as claimed (see column 8,

as well as, the entire document). Though the glass transition temperature values and

tan peak values of the claims are not particularly recited by Lutter et al., they are held to

be inherent owing to the similarities in the materials employed in the products and

processes claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John

Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5